

Manual Of Designs Practice & Procedure

PUBLISHED BY:

OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER GENERAL OF PATENTS, DESIGNS &TRADE MARKS

CONTENTS

Index	Page No.
Preface	
Chapter 1 – Introduction	5
Chapter 2 – Important definitions	7
Chapter 3 – Filing of Design Application	11
Chapter 4 – Examination and registration of designs	24
Chapter 5 - Patent Office Journal	34
Chapter 6 – Piracy of registered designs and consequences thereof	35
Chapter 7 – Post registration procedures	37
Chapter 8 – Transfer of rights	45
Chapter 9 – General Services	47
Chapter 10 – Use and acquisition of registered design by Government	50
Chapter 11 – General powers of Controller	51
Chapter 12 - Evidences etc.	54
Chapter 13 - Restrictive conditions in contract etc.	58
Chapter 14 - Appeals	60
Chapter 15 - Requirements before delivery on sales	61
Chapter -16 – Timelines	63
Annexure	
I. Important Case Laws	65
II. Specimen novelty statements & disclaimers in representation sheet	86

Preface

This Manual is intended to provide detailed information to the public and users of Design Registration System on the practice and procedures followed by Designs Wing of the Indian Patent Office, for processing of Design Applications and other related procedures. The primary objective of this manual is to streamline the procedure in the Designs Wing and to make the system more transparent and efficient. The Manual is based on the provisions of Designs Act, 2000 and the Designs Rules, 2001 as amended in 2008.

The Manual is formatted as a sequence of events from filing of application to registration and post registration procedures. Reference to sections and rules is provided in the right hand column. References to decisions of the courts of India and other countries have been given in the annexure for better understanding.

Complete automation of the Designs Wing is in progress and the entire process will be made fully e-enabled shortly, which will result in provision of online availability of the status of application, Register of Designs and file documents. E-filing of Design Applications and further documents will be introduced soon.

It is observed that 90% of the applications are objected to at the time of examination based on formality issues only. Very few applications are objected on substantive grounds such as novelty and originality. Such formality objections and their compliance take at least 4-5 months, resulting in delay in disposal of the application. Such faulty filing may be due to lack of proper understanding of the filing procedure, as required under the Law. This manual is expected to bring in the required understanding of filing procedure among the stakeholders. The Designs Wing expects to register a properly filed Design Application within a period of three months from the date of filing, starting April 2011.

The Manual will bring a level of awareness, both among officers and the applicants, as regards the correct procedure involved in the prosecution of Design Applications, which will reduce the current delay in registration.

The Manual does not constitute rule making and hence does not have the force and effect of law. Statements made in the Manual are not in themselves an authority as far as registrability of a Design Application is concerned. However, the procedural aspects as explained in the manual shall be binding on the officers and users of the Designs System. While the Manual may be regarded as a guide, it shall not be construed as an interpretation of the Designs Act and Rules and consequently may not be quoted to that end.

The Manual will be updated periodically in order to reflect important judgments, decisions and changes in practice and to correct errors, if any. Suggestions to improve the Manual will be appreciated.

P.H.KURIAN

Controller General of Patents, Designs &Trade Marks

CHAPTER-1

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The first legislation in India for protection of Industrial Designs was The Patents & Designs Protection Act, 1872. It supplemented the 1859 Act passed by Governor General of India for granting exclusive privileges to inventors and added protection for Industrial Design. The 1872 Act included the term "any new and original pattern or design, or the application of such pattern or design to any substance or article of manufacture".
- 2. The Inventions & Designs Act of 1888 re-enacted the law relating to protection of inventions and designs and contained provision relating to Designs in a separate part.
- 3. The Patents & Designs Act enacted in 1911 also provided for protection of Industrial Designs.
- 4. The Patents Act, 1970 repealed the provisions of the Patents and Designs Act, 1911, so far as they related to Patents. However, the provisions relating to Designs were not repealed and continued to govern the Designs Law. India joined the WTO as a "member State" in 1995. Consequently, the Patents & Designs Act, 1911 was repealed and the Designs Act, 2000 was enacted, to make the Designs Law in India TRIPS compliant. The definition of 'design' in the Designs Act, 2000 is more or less the same as that of the 1911 Act. Novelty under the 1911 Act was determined with reference to India, whereas under the Designs Act, 2000 novelty is determined on a global basis. The classification system of the 1911 Act was based on material characteristics of the article, whereas the Design Rules 2001 and the amendment in 2008 provide an elaborate classification based on Locarno Classification system.
- 5. The Designs Act and Rules provide for filing of a Design Application in any of the four Patent Offices i.e. Patent Office Delhi, Mumbai, Chennai or Kolkata. However, the prosecution of a Design Application is done only at Patent Office, Kolkata. Even after providing facility to file applications in any of the four IP Offices, the number of Design Applications has increased only from about 4000 in 2004-05 to 6700 in 2009-10. This is irrespective of the tremendous growth achieved in the Industrial Sector and expansion of Design education in the Country. Tardy growth in filing of Design Applications may be contributed to, amongst other things, lack of awareness about

prosecution procedures and ignorance of the benefits of registration. The general feeling of the Creators appears to be that Design registration is a lengthy, time consuming and costly affair, whereas the facts are to the contrary. This manual is intended to remove such misconceptions. It is also intended to sensitise the stakeholders about the simple registration procedure along with the benefits of registration.

- 6. Some of the key definitions have been explained in Chapter-2.
- 7. Chapters 3 to 5 explain the procedure for filing of Design Applications, examination, registration and publication of design in the Patent Office Journal.
- 8. Chapter 6 to 8 explain the rights of the proprietor, extension of copyright, restoration of design, transfer of copyright, and post grant procedures such as cancellation and rectification.
- 9. Chapter 9 explains the general services provided by the Designs Wing.
- 10. Chapter 10 explains the provisions relating to use and acquisition of registered designs by Government.
- 11. Chapters 11 and 12 explain the powers and duties of Controller and the procedures regulating Evidence.
- 12. Chapter 13 explains the provisions relating to restrictive conditions in contract.
- 13. Chapter 14 & 15 explains the provisions relating to appeals & requirements before delivery on sales.
- 14. Chapter 16 provides the timelines prescribed under the Designs Act and Rules.
- 15. The Officers functioning under the Act have been vested with statutory powers. They also have some discretionary powers under the Act which are to be exercised judiciously. As far as registrability is concerned, the matter under consideration shall not be decided solely based on the contents of this manual. A judicious decision based on the Act, Rules and precedents shall be taken. However, as far as procedure is concerned, all officers of Designs Wing shall follow the procedure set forth in this manual.

CHAPTER – 2 IMPORTANT DEFINITIONS

02.01	General	
	For better appreciation of this manual, the user may require	
	to have clear understanding of certain terms which are	
	defined in the Act or Rules. Definitions of some of the	
	important terms are as under.	
02.02	Definitions	
02.02.01	Controller	
	"Controller" means the Controller General of Patents,	Section 2(b),
	Designs and Trade Marks referred to in Section 3(1) of the	3(1)
	Designs Act, 2000. Controller shall be construed as	Section 3 of the
	including a reference to any officer discharging the	Trade Marks
	functions of Controller in pursuance of Section 3(3).	Act, 1999
	Office of the Controller General of Patents, Designs and	
	Trademarks is at Mumbai.	
	The Controller General of Patents, Designs and	
	Trademarks is appointed by the Government of India under	
	Section 3 of the Trademarks Act, 1999. The person so	
	appointed is the Controller of Designs for the purposes of	
	Designs Act, 2000.	
	The Central Government may also appoint other officers	
	who discharge, under the superintendence and directions of	
	the Controller General of Patents, Designs and	
	Trademarks, such functions of the Controller under this	
	Act as he may authorize in writing, from time to time by	

	general or special order.	
	Examiner of Patents & Designs is authorised to conduct	
	examination of a Design Application under the provisions	
	of the Designs Act. The Assistant Controllers, Deputy	
	Controllers and Joint Controllers of Patents & Designs may	
	be authorised to discharge the functions of Controller of	
	Designs under the Designs Act by the Controller General	
	of Patents, Designs and Trademarks.	
02.02.02	Article	
	"article" means any article of manufacture and any	Section 2(a)
	substance, artificial, or partly artificial and partly natural;	
	and includes any part of any article capable of being made	
	and sold separately.	
02.02.03	Copyright in Designs	
	"copyright" means the exclusive right to apply a design to	Section 2(c)
	any article in any class in which the design is registered.	
02.02.04	Design	
	"design" means only the features of shape, configuration,	Section 2(d)
	pattern, ornament or composition of lines or colours	
	applied to any article whether in two dimensional or three	
	dimensional or in both forms, by any industrial process or	
	means, whether manual, mechanical or chemical, separate	
	or combined, which in the finished article appeal to and are	
	judged solely by the eye; but does not include any mode or	
	principle of construction or anything which is in substance	
	a mere mechanical device, and does not include any trade	
	mark or property mark (as defined in Section 479 of the	
	Indian Penal Code) or artistic work (as defined in clause	
	(c) of section 2 of the Copyright Act, 1957).	
02.02.05	Legal representative	
	"legal representative" means a person who in law	Section 2(f)
	represents the estate of a deceased person.	

02.02.06	Original	
	"original", in relation to a design, means originating from	Section 2(g)
	the author of such design and includes the cases which	
	though old in themselves yet are new in their application.	
02.02.07	Patent Office	
	"Patent Office" means the patent office referred to in	Section 2(h)
	Section 74 of the Patents Act, 1970.	
	In India, the Patent Office is located at four locations viz.	
	Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata & Chennai. However, Designs	
	Wing is located only at Kolkata. Accordingly, the	
	documents relating to designs received in the other three	
	offices are sent to Kolkata. It may be noted that there is no	
	separate Designs Office in India.	
02.02.08	Proprietor of a new or original design	
	a. where the author of the design, for good consideration,	Section 2(j)
	executes the work for some other person, means the	
	person for whom the design is so executed;	
	b. where any person acquires the design or the right to	
	apply the design to any article, either exclusively of	
	any other person or otherwise, means, in the respect	
	and to the extent in and to which the design or right	
	has been so acquired, the person by whom the design	
	or right is so acquired; and	
	c. in any other case, means the author of the design; and	
	where the property in or the right to apply, the design	
	has devolved from the original proprietor upon any	
	other person, includes that other person.	
02.02.09	Reciprocity Application	
	a. "Reciprocity Application" means an application in	Rule 2(d)
	India under section 44 of the Designs Act.	Section 44
	b. A person, who has applied for protection of a Design,	
	in any of the convention countries or group of	
	countries or countries which are members of	

	intergovernmental organisations, may file an	
	application for registration of the same design in India,	
	within six months from the date of priority. Such	
	applications are called reciprocity applications.	
02.02.10	Set	
	"Set" means a number of articles of the same general	Rule 2(e)
	character ordinarily sold together or intended to be used	
	together, all bearing the same design, with or without	
	modification not sufficient to alter the character or	
	substantially to affect the identity thereof. For instance, tea	
	set, dinner set, furniture set etc.	

CHAPTER-3 FILING OF DESIGN APPLICATION

03.01	General	
	Properly filled up application form and appropriately	
	prepared representation are crucial for efficient	
	prosecution of a Design Application. It is observed	
	that 90% of the applications are objected on formality	
	issues. Very few applications are objected on	
	substantive issues, such as novelty and originality.	
	Extensions are sought by the agents/legal practitioners	
	for filing reply to such formal objections, which	
	results in a further delay of 4-5 months. Accordingly,	
	it is required that the documents related to Design	
	Applications shall be prepared diligently. This can	
	significantly reduce the time taken for registration.	
	The Designs Wing of the Patent Office is committed	
	to register a properly filed application, which complies	
	with the substantive criteria of registrability, in one	
	month from the date of filing, from April, 2011	
	onwards.	
03.02	Where to apply	
	An application for registration of a Design shall be	Section 5(2),
	addressed to the Controller of Designs, The Patent	3(3), 3(4)
	Office, CP-2, Sector-V, Salt Lake, Kolkata - 700091.	
	(E-mail: controllerdesign.ipo@nic.in)	
03.03	Type of Applications	
	a. Ordinary application.	Section 5, 44
	An ordinary application does not claim priority.	
	b. Reciprocity application.	
	A reciprocity application claims priority of an	
	application filed previously in a convention	
	country. Such an application shall be filed in India	

	within six months from the date of filing in	
	convention country. This period of six months is	
	not extendable.	
03.04	Applicant	
	Any person claiming to be the proprietor of any new	Section 5(1),
	or original design may apply for registration. A	2(j)
	proprietor may be from India or from a Convention	
	Country.	
	A proprietor may be:	
	a. an author of design,	
	b. a person who has acquired the design,	
	c. a person for whom the design has been	
	developed by the author, or	
	d. a person on whom the design has devolved.	
03.05	Substitution of applicant or joint claiming	
	a. Name of an applicant can be substituted or a joint	Section 8
	claim can be made for an applied design, if the	Form-2
	following requirements are met:	
	i. The claim for substitution is made before	
	the design has been registered; and	
	ii. Right of claimant shall be created only by:	
	I. an assignment;	
	II. agreement in writing made by the	
	applicant or one of the applicants;	
	or	
	III. operation of law; and	
	iii. The design under consideration shall be	
	identified in the assignment or agreement	
	specifically by reference to the number of	
	application for registration; or	
	iv. The rights of the claimant in respect of the	
	design have been finally established by a	
	Court;	

- b. A request for substitution of applicant shall be filed in Form-2 along with the required fee. If the above said requirements are fulfilled and the Controller is satisfied that, upon registration of design, the claimant would be entitled to any interest in the design the Controller may direct that the application shall proceed:
 - i. in the names of the claimant(s); or
 - ii. in the names of the claimant(s) and the applicant or the other joint applicant(s),as the case may be.
- c. However, in case of joint applicants, the Controller shall not pass such direction without with the consent of the other joint applicant(s).
- d. In case, joint applicant(s) die(s) at any time before the design has been registered, a request may be made for substitution by the survivor(s) and the Controller may direct that the application shall proceed in the name of the survivors alone. However, no such direction shall be issued without the consent of legal representative of the deceased.
- e. If case, there is any dispute between joint applicants as to whether or in what manner the application should be proceeded with an application may be made by any of the parties. The Controller may give such directions as he thinks fit for enabling the application to proceed in the name of one or more of the parties alone or for regulating the manner in which it should be proceeded with, or for both those purposes, as the case may be. However, the Controller shall not pass any such direction without giving an

	opportunity to be heard to all the concerned	
	parties.	
03.06	Filing of Application	
	An application/petition for registration of design,	
	extension of copyright, cancellation of registration and	
	rectification of register may be filed at any of the four	
	Patent Offices. However, the Patent Offices located at	
	Delhi, Mumbai and Chennai transmit such	
	applications to the Patent Office, Kolkata for further	
	processing and prosecution.	
03.06.01	E-filing	
	E-filing facility for filing of Design Applications and	
	all the subsequent documents will be made available	
	shortly.	
03.06.02	Contents of Application	
03.06.02.01	Application form	
	a. An application shall be filed in Form-1, along	Section 5, 6, 43,
	with the prescribed fees, stating the full name,	44
	address, nationality, name of the article, class	Rule 4, 9, 10
	number and address for service in India. Foreign	Form-1, 21
	applicants are also required to give an address for	Third Schedule
	service in India, which could be the address of	
	their Agent in India. In case of foreign applicants,	
	it is mandatory to give an address for service in	
	India. Unless, such an address is given, the Office	
	shall not proceed with the application.	
	b. The class to which the article belongs shall be	
	mentioned correctly in Form-1. Under the	
	Designs Rules, 2001, articles have been classified	
	in the Third Schedule based on Locarno	
	Classification. It may be noted that for registering	
	a design in more than one class, a separate	
	application is required to be filed for each class.	

	c. The application shall be signed either by the applicant or by his authorized agent/legal representative. In India, only a registered patent agent or a legal practitioner can be appointed as	
	an authorised agent. d. In case, the applicant has already registered a design in any other class of articles, the fact of such registration along with the registration number shall be mentioned in Form-1.	
03.06.02.02	Representation of design	
	Two copies of representation of the design shall be	Section 11
	submitted. Detailed requirements of representation are	Rule 14
	described in 02.05.02.05.	
03.06.02.03	Power of attorney	
	a. A Design Application may be filed personally by	Section 43
	an applicant or through a patent agent/legal	Rule 9
	practitioner. If the application is filed through a	Form 21
	patent agent/legal practitioner, a power of	
	authority shall be submitted, along with the	
	application. General Power of Attorney is also acceptable.	
	b. The Register of Patent Agents containing the	
	names and addresses of all the registered patent	
	agents is available at: www.ipindia.nic.in	
	c. It is observed that a large number of applications	
	are filed by agents/legal practitioners, without a	
	power of attorney. Such discrepancies cause undue	
	delay in the disposal of applications and may	
	amount to unnecessary harassment of the	
	applicant. If the power of attorney was not	
	submitted along with the application, the same	
	shall be submitted within a month from the date of	
	filing.	

03.06.02.04	Priority document	
	A reciprocity application shall be accompanied by a	Section 44
	copy of the design application filed in the Convention	Rule 2(d), 15
	Country. Such copy shall be duly certified by the	Form-18
	Official Chief or Head of the Organisation in which it	
	was filed.	
	If the priority document was not filed with the	
	application, the same may be filed within an extended	
	period of three months. Extension may be sought by	
	filing Form-18 along with the prescribed fee.	
03.06.02.05	Representation sheet	
	a. The representation sheet of an article needs to be	Rule 11, 14, 12
	prepared diligently and shall be filed along with	
	the application, in duplicate. The Designs Rules	
	require that four copies of the representation shall	
	be filed along with the application. However, as	
	the records are digitised and processed	
	electronically, two copies of the representation	
	shall suffice.	
	b. Representation means the exact representation of	
	the article for which registration is sought. A	
	representation may contain more than one page.	
	c. Representation shall be exactly similar drawings,	
	photographs, tracings including computer graphics	
	or specimens of the design. The Controller may	
	require a specimen of the article to be submitted at	
	the time of examination, in rare cases.	
	d. Photographs should be pasted on the	
	representation sheets with the help of adhesive and	
	not by other means like stapler, pin and cello tape.	
	While using photographs in representation sheets,	
	one of the copies of representation sheet shall not	
	be covered by cellophane or tracing paper so as to	

- enable digitization.
- e. Representation shall be prepared on white A4 size paper (210 mm X 296.9 mm) of durable quality, but not on card board, and only on one side of the paper.
- f. The figure(s) shall be placed in an upright position on the sheet. Each figure shall be designated clearly (e.g. perspective view, front view, side view).
- g. If design is to be applied to a set, the representation shall depict various arrangements in which the design is to be applied to articles in the set
- h. Where an application is for a design as applied to a set, whether the given articles constitute a set or not, shall be determined by the Controller.
- In case, name or representation of living persons appear on a design, consent of such persons may be submitted along with the application. In case of deceased person, the consent of legal representative may be submitted. It may be noted that non-submission of such consent may result in office objections and resultant delay registration. In case, the representation contains the image or name of an imaginary person, such fact may be mentioned in the declaration on the representation sheet.
- j. A statement of novelty and disclaimer (if any) in respect of mechanical action, trademark, word, letter, numerals should be endorsed on each representation sheet. For specimen statements please see Annexure II.
- k. Representation, which consists of a repeating

- surface pattern, shall show the complete pattern, and a sufficient portion of the repeating pattern in length and width, and shall not be less than 15.00 centimeters by 10.00 centimeters in size.
- 1. The name of applicant shall be mentioned in left hand top corner of the representation sheet.
- m. Total number of sheets and sheet number shall be mentioned in the right hand top corner of the representation sheet in Arabic numerals.
- n. The representation sheet shall be signed and dated by the applicant/agent.
- No descriptive matter or matter denoting the components by reference letters/numerals shall be included.
- p. No sectional views shall be incorporated in the representation sheet.
- q. Dimensions or engineering symbols etc. shall not be used in the representation sheet. The representation is not to be regarded as engineering drawing of the article. The relevant parameter is the shape and not the size of the article.
- r. Where Trade Marks, words, letters or numerals are not the essence of the design, they shall be removed from the representations or specimens. Where they are essence of the design, a disclaimer shall be given in the representation sheet disclaiming any right to their exclusive use. Non inclusion of this disclaimer will result in an office objection and resultant delay. For specimen disclaimer statement please see Annexure II.
- s. No extraneous matter or background shall appear in the representation sheet. A background is considered neutral as long as the design is clearly

visible in it.

t. Dotted lines may be used in representation to indicate those elements of the article for which no protection is sought. Dotted lines identify elements which are not part of the claimed design. In such a case, features of design for which protection is sought must be shown in solid lines in the drawing.

For instance, an ornamentation or surface pattern on an article can be registered. In such a case, the representation shall contain a solid line drawing for the claimed ornamentation or surface pattern, and dotted line for rest of the article.

- when colour combination is the essence of a design as applied to an article, the same shall be clearly depicted in the representation.
- v. Colouring may be used, on a black and white drawing, to highlight only those features of the design for which protection is sought. In such cases, it shall be clearly indicated in the novelty statement that the claim is restricted only to the portions depicted by colouring and the colours so given are not part of the design.
- w. Representations shall ordinarily be prepared in one format e.g. drawings, graphics or photographs etc.
 However, for better clarity, if the applicant so desires, a representation may be given in different formats.
- x. The applicant shall keep in mind the requirements of an Examiner to visualize the design and distinguish the same from any probable prior art. The number of views required in a representation sheet may be decided in light of this requirement.

03.06.02.06	Classification of designs	
	a. For the purpose of registration of designs, articles	Section 5(3).
	are classified into thirty-one classes and a	Rule 10, 11.
	miscellaneous class 99, as described in The Third	The Third
	Schedule of The Design Rules, 2001.	Schedule.
	b. The appropriate class shall be clearly identified	
	with reference to The Third Schedule and shall be	
	mentioned in Form 1. In case of any ambiguity,	
	the Controller may decide the same, if necessary,	
	in consultation with the applicant.	
	c. When a Design Application is for an article with	
	multiple utilities, the application may be made	
	with depiction of an article in any one or more of	
	the utilities. For instance, in case of a design of	
	pen cum torch, the applicant is at liberty to apply	
	in the class relating to pen, torch, pen-torch, or file	
	two applications in different classes for better	
	protection.	
	d. The classification of articles under The Third	
	Schedule is based on the International	
	Classification of Industrial Designs according to	
	the Locarno Agreement. However, India is not a	
	signatory to the agreement.	
	e. Ordinarily, the name of article should be	
	common/familiar in the Trade or Industry and	
	shall be analogous to the representation of the	
	article. If the name of article is not common, the	
	applicant may state the purpose for which the	
	article is intended to be used, in Form-1 and	
	representation sheet, so as to enable the Office to	
	correctly decide the classification and facilitate	
	search. An error in mentioning the article correctly	
	may result in office objection with resultant delay	

	of 2-3 months.	
03.06.03	Address for Service	
	a. An address for service in India shall be given by	Rule 4, 31, 46
	every person in any proceeding under the Act or	Form-22
	Rules.	
	b. Change in address for service before a design has	
	been registered shall be effected by filing a	
	petition under Rule 46 with the prescribed fee,	
	along with the fresh Form-1.	
	c. Any change in address for service for a registered	
	design shall be effected by filing Form-22 with the	
	prescribed fee.	
	Note: If the change in address for service in case	
	of (b) and (c) is due to the change in agent/legal	
	practitioner, duly executed power of attorney in	
	favour of such agent/legal representative shall be	
	filed along with the petition. Non-compliance of	
	this requirement results in undue delay.	
	d. Address for service is treated for all purposes as	
	the actual address of such person.	
	e. Unless an address for service is given, the	
	Controller shall not proceed with the application.	
	f. An address for service shall include e-mail of the	
	agent/applicant.	
03.07	Leaving and serving documents	
	a. Any application and any other related document	Rule 3
	may be sent by hand or by letter addressed to the	
	Controller of Designs. The letter may be sent by	
	post or courier service or by electronic	
	transmission duly authenticated.	
	b. In case of electronic transmission which is not	
	duly authenticated, the duly signed or executed	
	document shall be submitted within fifteen days	

	from the data of such transmississ. IT	
	from the date of such transmission. However, the	
	date of filing of document shall be taken to be the	
	date on which fee is duly submitted. All non-fee	
	documents may be sent by fax, or e-mail with a	
	scanned copy of the signed document as	
	attachment.	
	c. If any document is sent by post or electronic	
	transmission duly authenticated, it shall be deemed	
	to have been filed at the time when the letter	
	containing the same would have been delivered in	
	the ordinary course. In proving such sending, it	
	shall be sufficient to prove that the letter was	
	properly addressed and transmitted.	
	d. The following documents along with the	
	prescribed fee may be filed at any of the four	
	Patent Offices:	
	i. application for registration of design,	
	ii. application for extension of copyright,	
	iii. petition for cancellation of registration of	
	design, and	
	iv. application for rectification of Register of	
	Designs.	
	However, all further documents relating to above	
	said matters shall be filed in the Patent Office,	
	Kolkata only.	
03.08	Fee	
	a. The fee to be paid in respect of any matter is 1	Rule 5
	prescribed in the First Schedule of The Design	The First
	Rules, 2001.	Schedule
	b. The fee payable under the rules may either be paid	
	in cash or through electronic means or may be sent	
	by bank draft or cheque payable at par, to the	
	Controller of Designs and drawn on a scheduled	
	-	

	bank located at the respective filing location.
	c. Stamps and Indian Postal Orders are not accepted.
	d. Where fee is payable in respect of a document, the
	entire fee shall accompany the document.
	e. Fee once paid in respect of any proceedings shall
	not ordinarily be refunded irrespective of whether
	the proceeding has taken place or not.
03.09	Initial processing of application
	a. On receipt of an application, the Office accords a
	date and serial number to the application. This
	serial number, upon registration, becomes the
	registration number of the design.
	b. If the representation sheet is in such a form that
	the design cannot be visualised e.g. representation
	sheet received by fax, the application number shall
	not be allotted. Such an application shall be
	returned by post or at the counter itself, along with
	the fee, for non-fulfilment of basic requirements.
	The applicant/agent should be diligent enough to
	fulfil such basic requirements.
	c. A money receipt, which contains the application
	number, date and address, is handed over at the
	receipt counter or sent to the address for service,
	by post, as the case may be.
	d. Applications received at the Counter or by Post in
	Chennai, Mumbai and Delhi Patent Offices are
	sent to Patent Office, Kolkata within a week.
	e. All applications and other documents are digitized
	and verified at the Designs Wing, Kolkata and are
	uploaded to the server.
	f. The documents are then stored for official records.

CHAPTER-4 EXAMINATION AND REGISTRATION OF DESIGNS

04.01	Examination	
	The application for registration of a design is refereed by	Section 5(1)
	the Controller of Designs to an Examiner of Designs for	
	conducting examination as to:	
	a. whether the application and the documents satisfy the	
	formal requirements, and	
	b. whether such design as applied to an article is	
	registrable,	
	under the provisions of the Designs Act, 2000 and Designs	
	Rules, 2001.	
04.02	Formality check	
	The Examiner determines whether:	
	a. the application is in prescribed format?	
	b. the prescribed fee has been paid?	
	c. the name, address, and nationality of the applicant is	
	mentioned?	
	d. address for service is given in the application form?	
	e. declaration of proprietorship is given in the	
	application form?	
	f. representation sheet is in a manner as prescribed in	
	Rule 14?	
	g. power of authority, if applicable, is filed?	
	h. in case of reciprocity application:	
	I. the application was filed within the prescribed	
	time?	
	II. the priority document was filed at the time of	
	filing? If not, whether the priority document	
	was filed within the extendable period of three	
	months along with the prescribed form and	
	fees?	

	III. the application was filed by the same	
	applicant? If not, whether the assignment	
	document has been filed?	
	When the application is deficient in respect of (g), the	
	Examiner reports the deficiency to the Controller, who	
	communicates the statement of objections to the applicant.	
	Such an application shall proceed for substantive	
	examination only after compliance of the objections.	
	The applicant may comply with the deficiencies within	
	three months from the date of communication of the	
	statement of objections or respond to the objections,	
	failing which the application shall be treated as withdrawn.	
	If the applicant wishes to contest the objections, he may	
	respond to the objections clearly indicating the reasons.	
	Thereupon, the Controller shall give a hearing and the	
	matter shall be decided accordingly. In case of any	
	decision adverse to the applicant, the Controller shall issue	
	a speaking order.	
	In view of the above, it is desirable that the applicant/agent	
	shall be diligent enough to comply with the formal	
	requirements.	
04.03	Substantive examination	
	Substantive examination is carried out to determine	Section 2 (d),
	whether the design under consideration is:	2(g), 5(1), 46
	a. a 'design' under the Act?	
	b. new or original?	
	c. prejudicial to public order or morality?	
	d. prejudicial to the security of India?	
04.03.01	Design	
	A design:	Section 2(d).
	a. is shape, configuration and pattern, ornamentation or	Section 2(zb) of
	composition of lines or colours, as applied to an article	the Trademarks
	of manufacture, which in the finished form appeals to	Act 1999.

- and is judged solely by the eye;
- b. may be in two or three dimensional or in both forms;
- c. shall be capable of being a product of industrial process or means, whether manual, mechanical or chemical, separate or combined;
- d. shall not be a mere mechanical device, mode or principle of construction;
- e. does not include
 - i. any trademark, as defined in Section 2(zb) of the Trademarks Act, 1999, or
 - ii. any property mark, as defined in Section 479 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, or
 - iii. any artistic work, as defined in Section 2(c) of the Copyrights Act, 1957
 - [(i) A painting, sculpture, drawing (including a diagram, map, chart or plan), an engraving or a photograph, whether or not any such work possesses artistic quality.
 - (ii) Any work of architecture i.e. any building or structure having an artistic character or design or any model for such building or structure.
 - (iii) Any work of artistic craftsmanship.]
- f. An illustrative list of non-registrable designs is as under:
 - book jackets, calendars, certificates, forms and documents.
 - ii. dress making patterns, greeting cards, leaflets, maps and plan cards.
 - iii. post cards, stamps and medals.
 - iv. labels, tokens, cards and cartoons.
- g. Important case laws as to "what constitutes a design", are given in Annexure-I.

Section 479 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Section 2(c) of the Copyrights Act, 1999.

04.03.02	Novelty and originality	
	A design is capable of being registered only if it is new or	
	original.	
04.03.02.01	Novelty	
	a. A design shall be considered to be new when it has not	Section 4, 16,
	been disclosed to the public, anywhere in India or in	21
	any other Country, by publication or by use or in any	
	other way, prior to the filing date or priority date.	
	b. A design shall be considered new if it is significantly	
	distinguishable from known designs or combination of	
	known designs.	
	c. Disclosure of a design shall not be deemed to be	
	publication of design, sufficient to invalidate the	
	copyright thereof, in the following circumstances:	
	i. Proprietor disclosed the design to any other person	
	before registration, in such circumstances as would	
	make it contrary to good faith for that other person	
	to disclose the design However, that other person	
	discloses the design in breach of good faith.	
	ii. Acceptance of first and confidential order, before	
	registration, for articles bearing a new or original	
	textile design intended for registration.	
	d. A design is not invalidated or prevented from	
	registration:	
	i. if a design or an article to which a design is applied	
	has been exhibited in an exhibition to which the	
	provisions of Section 21 of the Designs Act, 2000	
	have been extended by the Central Government by	
	notification in the official gazette; or	
	ii. if a description of the design is published, during or	
	after the period of holding of such exhibition; or	
	iii. if any person:	
	I. exhibits the design or the article to which	

		the design is applied; or	
		the design is applied; or	
		publishes a description of the design,	
	_	or after the period of holding of the	
		ion, without the privity or consent of the	
	proprie		
		er, to avail the benefit of this provision, the	
		tor shall:	
		give a notice in Form-9 to the Controller	
		before exhibiting the design, and	
	II.	file the application for registration of design	
		within a period of six months from the date	
		of first exhibiting the design or publishing	
		the description.	
04.03.02.02 Orig	ginality		
'Ori	ginal' in re	elation to a design, means	Section 2(g)
	a. originat	ting from the author of design, and	
l	o. include	s the cases, which though old in themselves	
	yet are	new in their application.	
For	instance, tl	he figure of Taj Mahal is centuries old. But	
if a	person con	aceives for the first time, the idea of making	
a flo	ower vase	or an ash tray in the form of figure of Taj	
Mah	al, that n	nay be an original design and shall be	
regis	strable.		
Imp	ortant case	laws relating to novelty and originality are	
give	n in Annex	kure-I	
04.03.02.03 Nov	elty Searc	h	Section 4, 5
The	Examiner	conducts a novelty search in the available	
data	bases to a	ascertain the novelty of the design under	
cons	sideration.	Search is conducted class-wise or article-	
wise	e to asc	ertain whether the applied design is	
sign	ificantly di	ifferent from the previously registered, used	
or p	ublished d	esigns. If it is found that the design is not	
1			

	citations in his report to the Controller.	
04.03.02.04	Special provision relating to prior registered designs	
	a. If the applicant has registered the design in any other	Section 6(3) &
	class of articles, prior to the application under	6(4)
	consideration, the fact shall be mentioned in Form-1.	
	b. Upon Examination, if it is found that the design under	
	consideration is already registered by the same	
	applicant in another class and the applicant has not	
	disclosed that fact in the application form, the	
	Examiner shall raise an objection only with an	
	objective to predate the application, and not on the	
	ground of novelty. In such cases, the objection is	
	communicated along with the citation of such prior	
	registered design and the applicant is asked to amend	
	the application. The term of the copyright of the	
	design under consideration shall be co-terminus with	
	the term of previously registered design.	
	c. Upon Examination, if it is found that the applied	
	design is already registered by another person in	
	respect of some other article, the design under	
	consideration may be registered only if the applicant	
	becomes registered proprietor of the already registered	
	design. The term of the copyright of the design under	
	consideration shall be co-terminus with the term of	
	previously registered design.	
	d. Where any person makes an application for	
	registration of a design in respect of any article and	
	either:	
	i. that design has been previously registered by	
	other person in respect of some other article, or	
	ii. the design to which the application relates	
	consists of a design previously registered by	
	other person in respect of the same or some	

	other article with modifications or variations	
	not sufficient to alter the character or	
	substantially to affect the identify thereof, and	
	iii. if during the pendency of the application, the	
	applicant becomes the proprietor of the	
	previously registered design, the application	
	shall not be refused on the ground:	
	I. that the design is not new or original	
	only because it was previously	
	registered, or	
	II. that design has been previously	
	published in India or in any other	
	Country, only because the design has	
	been applied to article in respect of	
	which a design has been registered.	
	e. Apart from the above, while examining the	
	application the Examiner shall consider other criteria	
	of registrability e.g. scandalous matter etc.	
04.03.03	Public order and morality	
01100100	An application for registration of design is not registrable	Section 5(1) &
	if it is contrary to public order and morality. Examiner's	35 (1)
	opinion on this aspect is reported to the Controller.	33 (1)
04.03.04	Security of India	Section 34, 46
04.03.04		Section 34, 40
	security of India is not registrable and is not published.	
	b. For the purposes of this provision, the expression	
	"security of India" means any action necessary for the	
	security of India which relates to the application of any	
	design registered under this Act to any article used for	
	war or applied directly or indirectly for the purposes of	
	military establishment or for the purposes of war or	
	other emergency in international relations.	
	c. The Examiner examines the applied design vis-à-vis	

	the security of India and if there is an objection, the	
	same is reported to the Controller. If the Controller	
	confirms the view of the Examiner, the application is	
	referred to the Defence Research Development	
	Organisation, Ministry of Defence, Government of	
	India, for directions by virtue of the Powers conferred	
	by Section 34 of the Act. On receipt of a view	
	favourable to the applicant, the application proceeds	
	further.	
04.04	Consideration of report of Examiner	
	a. The Controller shall consider the report of Examiner	Section 5(1)
	on registrability of a design as applied to an article	Rule 18
	and if it is registrable, the same shall be registered	
	forthwith. The registration certificate shall be issued	
	and sent to the applicant at the earliest.	
	b. If upon consideration of the report, the Controller is of	
	the opinion that there are objection(s) adverse to the	
	applicant or the application requires some	
	amendment(s), a statement of objections shall be	
	communicated to the applicant by the Controller. The	
	communication shall be made either by registered post	
	or electronic transmission.	
	c. When an objection is raised on the ground of novelty,	
	the Controller shall cite the specific prior art.	
	d. If the applicant fails to comply with the objections or	
	fails to apply for a hearing within three months from	
	the date of communication of statement of objections,	
	the application shall be deemed to be withdrawn.	
	e. The period for removal of objections shall not exceed	
	the time period of six months from the date of filing	
	of the application.	
	However, the said period of six months can be	
	extended for a further period not exceeding three	

	months provided a request in Form-18 is filed before	2
	the expiry of six months.	
	f. On consideration of response of the applicant, if the	2
	Controller is of opinion that the requirements of Ac	t
	and Rules have not been met, the fact shall be	2
	communicated to the applicant clearly mentioning tha	t
	the application is liable to be refused for reasons to be	2
	detailed and fixing a date for hearing.	
	g. If the applicant fails to appear for the hearing withou	t
	any request for adjournment, the application shall be	2
	refused giving a reasoned order.	
	h. If written submissions are filed, while the opportunity	7
	to be heard is not availed by the applicant, no furthe	r
	opportunity to be heard may be provided and the	9
	matter shall be decided in light of the written	1
	submissions.	
	i. If the applicant complies with all the requirements laid	1
	down under the Act and Rules, communicated in the	2
	form of statement of objections, the application shal	1
	be registered forthwith.	
04.05	Registration & publication	Section 7
	a. Once an application is registered, it is published in the	
	Patent Office Journal ordinarily within one month	
	The registration number is same as the application	1
	number.	
	b. The date of registration of an ordinary application is	S
	the date of filing of the application. In case o	f
	reciprocity application, the date of registration is the	9
	date of filing of application in the Convention	1
	Country.	
04.06	Register of designs	

	a. All the registered designs are entered in	the Register Section 10, 26
	of Designs maintained at Patent Office, I	Kolkata. The Rule 30, 37, 38,
	register is available to public for inspection	on and an e- 41
	register is also available at the official web	osite.
	b. The Register contains the following details	s:
	i. names(s) and address(s) of prop	prietor(s) of
	registered designs;	
	ii. registration number;	
	iii. class;	
	iv. date of filing in India and date	of filing in
	Convention Country (if any);	
	v. renewal of copyright;	
	vi. assignments and of transmissions	of registered
	designs;	
	vii. any other matter which would	affect the
	validity or proprietorship of the de-	sign.
04.07	Certificate of Registration	
	Upon registration, the Controller issues a c	ertificate of Section 9
	registration to the proprietor of the design. The	ne certificate
	is sent by registered post to the address for	service. No
	hand delivery of certificate of registration is all	owed.

CHAPTER 5

THE PATENT OFFICE JOURNAL

05.01	General		
	After registration, the design is published in the Patent	Section 7	
	Office Journal in the last part. After publication, the design		
	is open to public for inspection.		
05.02	Publication		
	Patent Office Journal is published on every Friday and		
	contains the following matters on designs:		
	a. Public notice, if any.		
	b. Registered designs.		
	i. Registration number.		
	ii. Date of filing.		
	iii. Name of article.		
	iv. Class of the article.		
	v. Name and address of the registered proprietor.		
	vi. Priority details like priority date and country.		
	vii. Best view(s) of the article from the		
	representation.		
	c. Renewal of designs (only registration number).		
	d. Restored Designs.		
	e. Assignments / licenses/ Mortgage registration.		
	f. Matters relating to rectification.		
	g. Matters relating to cancellation.		
	The Patent Office Journal is available at the official		
	website (<u>www.ipindia.nic.in</u>).		
05.03	Prohibition of publication		
	If an application for a design has been abandoned or	Section 28	
	refused, the related documents cannot be published and are		
	not open to public inspection.		

CHAPTER 6

PIRACY OF REGISTERED DESIGNS AND CONSEQUENCES THEREOF

06.01	Piracy of registered design	
	During the existence of copyright in any design it shall not	Section 5(6), 22
	be lawful for any person, without the license or written	
	consent of the registered proprietor:	
	i. for the purpose of sale to apply or cause to be	
	applied, to any article in any class of articles in	
	which the design is registered, the design or	
	any fraudulent or obvious imitation thereof, or	
	to do anything with a view to enable the	
	design to be so applied;	
	ii. to import such article for the purposes of sale.	
	iii. to publish or expose or cause to be published	
	or exposed for sale, that article.	
06.02	Copyright in design (rights of registered proprietor)	
	a. The proprietor of the design gets exclusive right to	Section 2(c),
	apply the design to the article in a class in which the	11, 22, 44
	design is registered.	
	b. A registered proprietor can institute a suit for	
	injunction as well as recovery of damages against any	
	person engaged in piracy of the registered design.	
	Such legal proceedings can be instituted from the date	
	of registration and till the expiry of copyright.	
	However, in case of reciprocity application, the	
	registered proprietor can claim damages in only from	
	the actual date on which the design is registered in	
	India.	
	c. If any person commits piracy of a registered design, as	

-		
	defined in Section 22, he shall be liable to pay for a	
	payment of a sum not exceeding twenty thousand	
	rupees, recoverable as contract debt. However, the	
	total sum recoverable in respect of any one design	
	shall not exceed fifty thousand rupees.	
	d. The suit for injunction / damages shall not be	
	instituted in any Court below the Court of District	
	Judge.	
06.03	Provisions of Copyright Act as applied to a design	
	A creator of a design shall keep in mind the following	Section 15 of
	provisions of the Copyright Act, 1957:	The Copyright
	a. Copyright shall not subsist under the Copyright Act in	Act 1957
	any design which is registered under the Designs Act.	
	b. Copyright in any design, which is capable is being	
	registered but which has not been so registered shall	
	cease as soon as any article to which the design has	
	been applied has been reproduced more than fifty	
	times by an industrial process, by the owner of the	
	copyright, or with his license, by any other person.	
06.04	Period of protection	
	a. The proprietor shall have copyright in the design for	Section 11
	ten years from the date of registration.	
	b. This period of ten years can be extended by five years,	
	if the registered proprietor applies for extension in	
	prescribed manner.	
06.05	Rights in lapsed design, which has been restored	
	A proprietor shall have no right to institute a suit or	Section 14
	proceeding in respect of piracy of design or infringement	
	copyright, which has been committed between the date on	
	which the design ceased to have effect and the date of	
	restoration of the design.	
L	l	1

CHAPTER 7 POST REGISTRATION PROCEDURES

07.01	Cancellation of registration	
	a. Any person interested may file a petition for	Section 19
	cancellation of a registered design in Form 8.	
	b. Such petition may be filed at any of the four Patent	
	Offices. Such petitions filed in Offices other than	
	Kolkata, are transmitted to the Kolkata Patent Office.	
	However, at present, all further proceedings of	
	cancellation take place only at Patent Office, Kolkata	
	and hence all communications relating to cancellation	
	petitions are required to be communicated to that	
	office.	
	c. The petition for cancellation of registration of a design	
	may be filed on any of the following grounds:	
	i. that the design has been previously registered	
	in India;	
	ii. that it has been published in India or in any	
	other country prior to the date of registration;	
	iii. that the design is not a new or original;	
	iv. that the design is not registrable under this	
	Designs Act;	
	v. that it is not a design as defined under Section	
	2(d).	
07.01.01	Procedure	
	a. The petition for cancellation shall be accompanied by	Section 19
	a statement and evidence setting out the facts based	Rule 29
	upon which the petition is filed. The statement shall	
	also state the nature of applicant's interest so as to	
	determine, whether the petitioner is a person	
	interested.	
	b. The fact of filing of a petition for cancellation of	

- registration of a design is published in the Official Journal.
- c. On receipt of a petition for cancellation, the Controller shall send a copy of the petition along with statement and evidence to the registered proprietor at the earliest.
- d. If the registered proprietor desires to oppose the petition, he shall file at Patent Office, Kolkata, a counter statement and evidence, if any, setting out fully the grounds upon which he intends to oppose the petition, within one month from the date of intimation by the Controller, and deliver a copy to the Petitioner, simultaneously. This period of one month is extendable by a maximum of three months, by filing a petition for extension with the required fee.
- e. After receipt of counter statement and evidence, if any, from the registered proprietor, the Petitioner may file his reply statement and evidence, by way of affidavits, within one month from the date of delivery of the registered proprietor's counter statement and evidence. Reply evidence of the petitioner shall be strictly confined to matters in the evidence of the registered proprietor. This period of one month is extendable by a maximum of three months, by filing a petition for extension with the required fee. The petitioner shall deliver a copy of his reply statement evidence and to the registered proprietor, simultaneously.
- f. No further evidence shall be delivered by either party, except with the leave or direction of Controller.
- g. Where a document is in a language other than English and is referred to in any statement or evidence, an attested translation thereof in duplicate in English

	1	1 111 6 1 1	
	_	should be furnished.	
	h.	After completion of the filing of statement and	
		evidence by the Petitioner and Registered Proprietor,	
		the Controller shall give at least ten days' notice for	
		hearing.	
	i.	On receipt of the notice of hearing, if either party	
		desires to be heard, a notice of intention to attend the	
		hearing shall be filed in Form 20.	
	j.	The Controller may refuse to hear any party which has	
		not given such notice and fee.	
	k.	If either party intends to rely on any publication at the	
		hearing, not already mentioned in the petition for	
		cancellation, statement or evidence, he shall give to	
		the other party and to the Controller a notice of his	
		intention to do so, together with details of such	
		publication. Such notice shall be given at least five	
		days' in advance.	
	1.	After hearing the parties, or if neither party desires to	
		be heard, then without a hearing, the Controller shall	
		decide the petition and issue a speaking order. The	
		decision of Controller shall be notified to the parties	
		and shall be published in the Official Journal.	
	m.	Subsequent entries, if necessary, shall be made in the	
		Register of Designs.	
07.02	Ext	tension of copyright (renewal)	
	a.	The copyright in a registered design may be extended	Section 11 (2)
		by a period of five years, from the expiration of	Form 3
		original period of ten years.	
	b.	An application for extension of copyright shall be	
		filed before the expiry of the original period of ten	
		years.	
	c.	The Designs Wing shall complete the proceedings of	
		extension of copyright in designs, within a week from	

	1 1	
	the date of receipt of Form-3.	
	d. The fact of extension of copyright in a registered	
	design is entered in the Register of Designs and is	
	published in the Journal of Patent Office.	
07.03	Restoration of lapsed design	
	When copyright in a registered design has ceased to have	Section 12
	effect due to non payment of fees for extension of	Form-4
	copyright, within the prescribed time, an application for	
	restoration may be filed within twelve months from the	
	date on which the design ceased to have effect.	
07.03.01	Procedure for restoration	
	a. The proprietor or his legal representative may apply	Section 12, 13,
	for restoration of the design.	14.
	b. If the design is held by two or more person, then, with	Rule 24
	the leave of the controller, one or more of them	Form 4, 11.
	without joining the others may apply for restoration.	
	c. If the application for restoration is filed by any other	
	person claiming as proprietor of the design, such	
	application shall be accompanied by Form-11, for	
	affecting a change in name or proprietorship in the	
	register of designs. The application for restoration	
	shall be proceeded with only after the change in name	
	or proprietorship is affected as per provisions of the	
	Act. However, if change in proprietorship happened	
	after the design ceased to have effect, such an	
	application for restoration from the latter alleged	
	proprietor will not be entertained.	
	d. If the registered proprietor failed to register a change	
	of name before or after the cessation, he shall first	
	apply for such alteration in the Register. The	
	application for restoration shall be proceeded with	
	only after change in name has been affected in the	
	register of designs.	
	. 6 6	

The application shall include a statement fully setting out the circumstances that led to failure to pay the fee. The evidence must support the claim that the failure to pay was unintentional and there has been no undue delay in applying for restoration. g. Controller may require evidence in support of the statement. h. If the Controller is satisfied that the failure to pay fee for extension was unintentional and that there has been no undue delay in making the application, the Controller shall restore the registration of design. i. If in the opinion of Controller there is a prima facie case for not allowing the application for restoration, the proprietor of the design shall be intimated accordingly. In such a case, the proprietor of the design may request for a hearing in the matter within one month from the date of such intimation. Unless such a request for hearing is made, the application shall be refused by the Controller. If the registered proprietor requests for hearing within the time allowed and the Controller, after such a hearing, is satisfied that the failure to pay the fee for the extension of copyright is unintentional and there is no undue delay in the making the application for restoration, the application for restoration shall be allowed. k. The fact that a design has been restored is published in Official Journal. 07.03.02 Payment of unpaid extension fee If the application for restoration has been allowed, the Rule 25 registered proprietor shall pay the unpaid fees for the extension of copyright along with additional fee prescribed in the First Schedule, within one month from the date of

	order of Controller.	
07.04	Rectification	
	a. An application for rectification of register of designs may be filed by any person aggrieved on the	Section 31 Rule 39, 40
	following grounds:	Form-17
	 non-insertion or omission of an entry; 	
	ii. entry made without sufficient cause;	
	iii. entry wrongly remaining on the register;	
	iv. error or defect in any entry.	
	b. The rectification may be made by way of making,	
	expunging or varying any entry.	
	c. Rectification does not include cancellation of a	
	design.	
07.04.01	Procedure for rectification	
	a. On receipt of an application for rectification, the	Rule 39, 40
	Controller shall notify all persons, whose names are	Form-19
	entered in the register as having an interest in the	
	design. Such application shall also be published in the	
	Patent Office Journal.	
	b. Any person having an interest in the registered design	
	may give notice of opposition to the application for	
	rectification within three months from the date of	
	publication of the application for rectification.	
	c. The opponent shall, within fourteen days of giving	
	notice of the opposition, leave at the office his written	
	statement and evidence, in duplicate, setting out the	
	nature of his interest, the facts upon which he bases	
	his case and the relief, which he seeks.	
	d. The Controller shall forward a copy of the notice of	
	opposition along with the written statement and	
	evidence to the applicant for rectification within	
	fifteen days of the receipt of statement and evidence.	
	e. If the applicant desires to contest the notice of	

- opposition, he shall file at Patent Office, Kolkata, a counter statement and evidence, if any, setting out fully the grounds upon which he intends to contest the notice of opposition, within one month from the date of intimation by the Controller, and deliver a copy to the opponent, simultaneously. This period of one month may be extended by the Controller up to a maximum of three months.
- f. After receipt of counter statement and evidence from the applicant, the opponent may file his reply statement and evidence, by way of affidavits, within one month from the date of delivery of the registered proprietor's counter statement and evidence. Reply evidence of the opponent shall be strictly confined to matters in the evidence of the applicant. This period of one month may be extended by the Controller up to a maximum of three months. The opponent shall deliver a copy of his reply statement and evidence to the applicant, simultaneously.
- g. No further evidence shall be delivered by either party, except with the leave or direction of Controller.
- h. Where a document is in a language other than English and is referred to in any statement or evidence, an attested translation thereof in duplicate in English should be furnished.
- After completion of the filing of statement and evidence by the opponent and applicant, the Controller shall give at least ten days' notice of hearing to the parties.
- j. On receipt of the notice of hearing, if either party desires to be heard, a notice of intention to attend the hearing shall be filed in Form 20 along with the required fee.

- k. The Controller may refuse to hear any party which has not given such notice and fee.
- If either party intends to rely on any publication at the hearing, not already mentioned in the petition for cancellation, statement or evidence, he shall give to the other party and to the Controller a notice of his intention to do so, together with details of such publication. Such notice shall be given at least five days' in advance.
- m. After hearing the parties, or if neither party desires to be heard, then without a hearing, the Controller shall decide the application for rectification and issue a speaking order. The decision of Controller shall be notified to the parties and shall be published in the Official Journal.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS

08.01	Transfer of rights	
	a. A person may become entitled to copyright in a	Section 30
	registered design on account of assignment,	Rule 32, 33, 34,
	transmission or operation of law.	35, 36, 37
	b. A person may also become entitled to any interest in a	Form 10, 11,
	registered design on account of a mortgage, license or	12, 13
	otherwise.	
	c. The claimant, who becomes entitled to any such right,	
	may file an application to register his title along with	
	original or copies of the instrument, certified by the	
	Notary Public.	
	d. If the change in proprietorship is due to an	
	assignment, the application shall be submitted in	
	Form-11. If the change due to mortgage or license, the	
	application shall be submitted in Form-12. In case of	
	registration of notification of any document, the	
	application shall be filed in Form-13.	
	e. The Controller may ask for any other proof of title or	
	written consent, to his satisfaction. If such document	
	is a public document, an official or certified copy	
	thereof may be presented.	
	f. In any case, the document, creating the right in favour	
	of a person other than a registered proprietor, shall be	
	in writing and the agreement between the parties	
	concerned shall be reduced to the form of an	
	instrument embodying all the terms and conditions	
	governing their rights and obligations. Any	
	contravention of this requirement will render the	
	instrument invalid.	

- g. An application for registration of title shall be filed within six months from the date of execution of the instrument. This period is extendable by a maximum of six months.
- h. Upon entry of its particulars in the register of designs,
 the instrument shall be effective from the date of its execution.
- i. The person registered as a proprietor shall have absolute power to deal with the design as far as assignments and licences etc. are concerned.
- j. An instrument, which has not been registered, shall not ordinarily be admissible in any Court as proof of title to copyright in a design or to any interest therein.

GENERAL SERVICES

09.01	The Designs Wing of the Patent Office, Kolkata provides	
	certain services to the interested public for dissemination	
	of information relating to registered designs.	
09.02	Information as to existence of copyright	
	a. Any person may request for information regarding the	Section 18
	existence of registration in respect of a design.	Rule 28
	b. Such a request shall be filed in Form-6 and shall	Form 6
	contain the registration number of the design for	Form 7
	which information is sought. If any registration in	
	design exists, in accordance with the information	
	provided by such person, the Controller shall provide	
	the information relating to classes of articles in which	
	the registration exists, date of registration, name and	
	address of the registered proprietor.	
	c. If the applicant is unable to furnish the registration	
	number of the design, the request shall be filed in	
	Form-7 with the required, along with such information	
	as may enable the Controller to identify the design. As	
	far as possible, search shall be conducted based on the	
	information supplied, in the indicated class of articles.	
	Information retrieved, based on the information	
	received from the applicant, shall be furnished to the	
	applicant.	
	d. Applications in Form-6 & 7 shall be disposed off by	
	the Controller within a week from the receipt of such	
	application.	
09.03	Certified copies	
	Any person may apply for certified copies of the following	Section 17, 26,
	documents in Form-15 or 16, as the case may be, along	38, 39
	with the required fee:	Rule 41

	a. any registered design;	Form-15, 16
	b. an entry in the Register of Design,	
	c. extracts from disclaimers, affidavits, declarations, and	
	d. other public documents in the Office, or from Register	
	and other records.	
	Such certified copies shall be furnished by the Controller	
	within a week of receipt of the request.	
	Certified copies under the hand of the Controller and	
	sealed with the seal of the Patent Office are admissible in	
	evidence in all Courts in India, without further proof or	
	production of the originals.	
	However, in rare cases, if the Court has reason to doubt	
	the accuracy or authenticity of the copies tendered in	
	evidence, the Court may require the production of	
	originals or such further proof as it considers necessary.	
09.04	Inspection of registered design and register of designs	
	a. When a design is registered, during the existence of	Section 17(1),
	the copyright, any person may make a request to the	26
	Controller for inspection of the design by filing an	Form-5
	application in Form-5 along with the required fee.	
	b. Where an application for a design has been abandoned	
	or refused, any document related to such application is	
	not open to public inspection.	
	c. The register of designs maintained at Patent Office,	
	Kolkata is open to inspection on payment of required	
	fee.	
	d. Inspection shall be allowed by the Controller as far as	
	possible on the same day, if the applicant is present. If	
	the request is received by post, an earliest date for	
	inspection may be fixed and communicated to the	
	applicant at the earliest.	
09.05	Alteration of address	
	A proprietor of a registered design may make a request in	Rule 31

	Form 22 to the Controller for alteration of his name, or	Form 22
	address, or addresses for service, in the Register of Design.	
	The Controller may require such proof of alteration so	
	requested as he may think fit before acting on the request	
	and on satisfaction, the Controller shall cause the Register	
	to be altered accordingly.	
09.06	Duplicate certificate	
	a. The Controller may, in case of loss of the original	Section 9
	certificate, or any other case in which he deems it	
	expedient, furnish one or more copies of the	
	certificate to the proprietor.	
	b. If the certificate is lost or in any other case, an	
	affidavit from the proprietor shall be sufficient for	
	issue of a duplicate certificate.	

USE & ACQUISITION OF REGISTERED DESIGN BY GOVERNMENT

10.01	A registered design shall have to all intents the like effect	Section 20
	as against the Government as it has against any person.	Chapter XVII
	However, as in the case of Patents, the Central	of The Patents
	Government has the power to use a registered design for	Act, 1970.
	purposes of Government and to acquire a registered design	
	for public purpose.	

GENERAL POWERS OF CONTROLLER

11.01	Powers of a Civil Court	
	In any proceedings before the Controller under this Act,	Section 32
	the Controller has the powers of a civil court for the	
	purpose of:	
	a. receiving evidence;	
	b. administering oaths;	
	c. enforcing the attendance of witnesses;	
	d. proceedings compelling the discovery and production	
	of documents;	
	e. issuing commissions for the examining of witnesses;	
	and	
	f. awarding costs, such award being executable as a	
	decree of a Civil Court.	
11.02	Awarding costs	
	In all proceedings before the Controller, the Controller	Section 32
	may award such costs as he considers reasonable, having	Rule 43
	regard to all circumstances of the case. However, the	Fourth Schedule
	maximum amount that can be awarded by the Controller as	
	cost, in respect to a matter given in Fourth Schedule, shall	
	not exceed the amount mentioned therein.	
11.03	Power to seek directions of the Central Govt.	
	When Controller has doubt or difficulty in the	Section 34
	administration of any of the provisions of this Act, he may	
	seek the directions of Central Government in the matter.	
11.04	Exercise of discretionary power by Controller	
	a. Before acting adverse to any party, the Controller	Section 33
	shall give an opportunity of being heard to the party	Rule 44
	with at least ten days notice.	
	b. The discretionary powers shall be exercised	

	judiciously with due care and caution and not in an	
	arbitrary manner.	
	c. Reasons for any adverse decision shall be recorded in	
	the file by the Controller.	
11.05	Power of Controller to correct clerical errors	
	On a request made in Form-14 along with the required fee,	Section 29
	the Controller may correct any clerical error in the	
	representation of a design or in the name or address of the	
	proprietor of any design, or in any other matter, which is	
	entered upon the register of designs.	
11.06	Controller may require statements	
	Whether an applicant or agent desires to be heard or not,	Rule 45
	the Controller may at any time require him to submit a	
	statement in writing within a specified time period, or to	
	attend before him and make explanations with respect to	
	such matters as the Controller may require.	
11.07	General power of amendment	
	a. Any document for the amendment of which, no	Rule 46
	special provision is made by the Act, may be	
	amended, and any irregularity in procedure which, in	
	the opinion of the Controller, may be obviated	
	without detriment to the interest of any person,	
	may be corrected if the Controller thinks fit, and upon	
	such terms as he may direct.	
	b. The applicant shall file a petition along with the	
	required fee stating the amendment required and	
	reasons therefor.	
	c. While considering such petitions, only such an	
	irregularity is allowed to be obviated which is without	
	detriment to the interests of any person. Further, only	
	such amendment for which there is no special	
	provision in the Act and which may be made without	
	detriment to the interests of any person are allowable.	

	d. Ordinarily, a failure to act within prescribed time shall	
	not be considered as an irregularity, capable of being	
	obviated.	
	e. If any amendment is required resulting from any	
	mistake on part of the office, no fee shall be charged.	
11.08	General power for extension of time	
	a. The time prescribed by the rules for doing any act or	Rule 47
	taking any proceeding, for which no special	
	provision is made thereunder, may be extended by	
	the Controller, for a period not exceeding three	
	months, if he thinks fit, and upon such terms as he	
	may direct.	
	b. The petition shall explain the circumstances requiring	
	the extension of time and reasons therefor.	
	c. Extension of time should be allowed only in cases	
	where no special provision for extension of time has	
	been prescribed in the Rules. Where a time limit is	
	specified in the Act itself, no extension can be sought	
	under this provision.	

EVIDENCES ETC.

12.01	Evidences	
	a. In any proceeding before the Controller, evidence shall be given by way of an affidavit, in the absence of directions to the contrary.	Section 37
	b. The Controller may also take evidence through viva voce, in lieu of or in addition to affidavit or allow any party to be cross-examined on the contents of his affidavit.	
12.02	Form of affidavits	
	 a. The affidavits under the Act and rules shall be with an appropriate heading indicating the matter; drawn in the first person; and divided into paragraphs consecutively numbered; each paragraph shall, as far as possible, be confined to one subject. Every affidavit shall state the description and true place of abode of the person making the same, shall bear the name and address of the person leaving it and shall state on whose behalf it is left. b. Affidavits shall be confined to such facts as the deponent is able of his own knowledge to prove, except on interlocutory applications, on which statements of his belief may be admitted, provided that the grounds thereof are stated. c. Affidavits shall be sworn to as follows:- i. In India, before any court or person having by law authority to receive evidence, or before any officer empowered by such court or person as aforesaid to administer oaths or to take affidavits; 	Rule 42

- ii. In any country or place outside India, before a diplomatic or consular office, within the meaning of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948 (41 of 1948) in such country of place or before a notary of the country or place, recognized by the Central Government under section 14 of the Notaries Act, 1952 (53 of 1952), or before a judge or magistrate of the country of place.
- d. The person before whom an affidavit is sworn shall state the date on which and the place where the same is sworn to and shall affix thereto his seal, if any, or the seal of the court if the affidavit is sworn to before the court or an officer empowered by that court and signed his name and state his designation and address at the end thereof.
- e. Any affidavit purporting to have affixed, impressed or subscribed thereto or thereon the seal or signature of any person authorized, in testimony of the affidavit having been sworn to before him, may be admitted by the Controller without proof the genuineness of the seal or signature, or of the official position of that person.
- f. Alterations and interlineations shall, before an affidavit is sworn to or affirmed be authenticated by the initials of the person before whom the affidavit is sworn to.
- g. Where the deponent is illiterate, blind or unacquainted with the language in which the affidavit is written, a certificate by the person before whom the affidavit is sworn to, to the effect that the affidavit was read, translated or explained in his presence to the deponent, and the deponent perfectly understood it

	and has signed the affidavit or affixed his mark in his	
	presence, shall be attached at the end of the affidavit.	
	h. Every affidavit filed before the Controller shall be	
	duly stamped.	
12.03	Evidences of documents in Patent Office	
	Printed or written copies or extracts of documents in the	Section 39
	Patent Office, certified by the Controller as, of or from	
	registers and other books kept in the Patent Office are	
	admissible as evidence in all courts in India without	
	further proof for production of the originals. However, if	
	the Court has reason to doubt the accuracy or authenticity	
	of the copies tendered in evidence, the Court may require	
	the production of the originals or such further proof as it	
	considers necessary.	
12.04	Certificate of Controller to be evidence	
	A certificate purporting to be under the hand of the	Section 38
	Controller as to entry, matter or thing which he is	
	authorised by this Act, or any rules made there under to	
	make or do, shall be prima-facie evidence of the entry	
	having been made, and of the contents thereof, and of the	
	matter or thing having been done or left undone.	
12.05	Annual report by the Controller	
	1. The Controller of Designs prepares an annual report on	Section 45
	activities of the Designs Wing. The Annual Report is	
	placed by the Central Government before both the Houses	
	of Parliament.	
	2. The Annual Report contains information on:	
	a. brief about the activities during the year;	
	b. Design Applications filed and registered;	
	c. examination;	
	d. cancellations;	
	e. restoration;	
	f. extension of copyrights;	

- g. requests for inspection of registered designs;
- h. certified copies;
- i. assignments;
- j. designs in force;
- k. revenue.

Annual report is uploaded on the official website (www.ipindia.nic.in) after the same is placed before both Houses of the Parliament.

CHAPTER 13 RESTRICTIVE CONDITIONS IN CONTRACTS ETC.

13.01	Avoida	nce of certain conditions in Contracts	
	a. The	e Designs Act provides that the insertion of certain	
	con	nditions in Contract etc., concerning an article	
	hav	ring a registered design, is unlawful and such	
	con	ditions are void. Accordingly, while entering into	
	Cor	ntracts etc. the registered proprietor may avoid	
	suc	h conditions.	
	b. It	shall not be lawful to insert certain restrictive	
	con	aditions in:	
	i	. a Contract in relation to the sale or lease of an	
		article; or	
	ii	. a Licence to manufacture or use an article; or	
	iii	. a licence to package the article;	
	in r	respect of which a design is registered;	
	the	effect of which may be:	
	i	. to require the purchaser, lessee, or licensee to	
		acquire from the vendor, lessor, or licensor or	
		his nominees, or to prohibit him from	
		acquiring or to restrict in any manner or to any	
		extent his right to acquire from any person or	
		to prohibit him from acquiring except from the	
		vendor, lessor, or licensor or his nominees any	
		article other than the article in respect of which	
		a design is registered; or	
	ii	. to prohibit the purchaser, lessee or licensee	
		from using or to restrict in any manner or to	
		any extent the right of the purchaser, lessee or	
		licensee, to use an article other than the article	
		in respect of which a design is registered	
		which is not supplied by the vendor, lessor or	

licensor nor his nominee.	
c. and any such condition shall be void.	

CHAPTER 14 APPEALS

14.01	Appeals	
	a. An appeal lies to the High Court against an order	Section 36
	passed by the Controller under the following	
	provisions:	
	i. an order under Section 5, refusing registration	
	of a design;	
	ii. an order under Section 19, passed in a	
	cancellation petition;	
	iii. an order under Section 31, passed in a	
	rectification petition;	
	iv. an order under Section 35, refusing	
	registration on the ground of public order or	
	morality.	
	b. Every appeal shall be made within three months of the	
	date of the order of the Controller. The date of such	
	order is the date on which the order is dispatched.	
	c. In calculating the said period of three months, the time	
	taken in granting a copy of the order appealed against	
	shall be excluded.	
	d. The High Court may, if it thinks fit, obtain the	
	assistance of an expert in deciding such appeals, and	
	the decision of the High Court shall be final.	
14.02	Jurisdiction	
	At present, as the Designs Wing of the Patent Office is	Decision of
	functioning only at Patent Office, Kolkata, all the appeals	Hon'ble
	under the Designs Act, 2000, lie to the High Court of	Supreme Court
	Kolkata.	of India in Civil
		Appeal No.
		996-997/2010

CHAPTER 15 REQUIREMENTS BEFORE DELIVERY ON SALES

15.01	General	
	Marking of an article before delivery on sale by a	
	registered proprietor is very important for ensuring the	
	protection of a registered design, as explained below.	
15.02	Marking of article before delivery on sales	
	a. Before delivery on sale of any article with registered	Section 15,
	design, the registered proprietor shall mark such	Rule 26
	article with any of the following words:	
	i. REGISTERED ;	
	ii. REGD. ;	
	iii. RD, and	
	iv. the registration number (Except in the case of	
	articles soft or brittle in nature, to which have	
	been applied designs registered in different	
	classes of articles)	
	b. It is not necessary to mark the articles as required	
	above in:	
	i. textile goods in which the design is printed or	
	woven, other than handkerchiefs; and	
	ii. articles made of charcoal dust, which are	
	brittle and which are not sold in single piece	
	c. If the registered proprietor fails to mark an article, as	
	required in (1), he shall not be entitled to recover any	
	penalty or damages in respect of any infringement of	
	his copyright in the design, unless he proves that he	
	took all proper steps to ensure the marking of the	
	article, or unless he proves that the infringement took	
	place after the alleged infringer knew or had already	
	received a notice of the existence of copyright in the	

	design.
	d. In respect to any class or description of articles, a
	trade or industry may represent to the Central
	Government that it is expedient to dispense with or
	modify the marking requirement as required above.
	The Central Government, may, if it thinks fit,
	dispense or modify such requirements through a rule
	made under the Act.
15.03	Requirements before delivery on sales
	a. Before delivery on sale of any articles to which a Section 15
	registered design has been applied and if exact
	representations (photographs) or specimens were not
	furnished on the application for registration, the
	proprietor shall furnish to the Controller the exact
	representations (photographs) or specimens of the
	design.
	b. If the registered proprietor fails to comply with the
	above condition, the Controller may, after giving
	notice to the registered proprietor and an opportunity
	of being heard, remove the name of the registered
	proprietor from the register. On such removal, the
	copyright in the design shall cease.

CHAPTER-16 TIMELINES

S.No.		Section/	Form	Prescribed time
		Rules		
01	Application for registration of	Section	Form 1	Within 6 months from date of
	Design claiming priority date	44(1)(a)		earliest filing in the country (s)
	under Paris Convention/			under Paris convention/WTO.
	WTO/reciprocity arrangement			
02	Filing of certified copy of	Rule 15(2)	Form 18	Along with application or within 3
	priority Document			months from the date of filing.
03	Application for registration of	Section	Form 10	Within 6 month from the date of
	assignment or of the instrument	30(3)		execution of assignment/instrument.
	for change of ownership			Further extension of 6 months
				available.
04	Compliance of all requirements	Rule 18(1)	Form-18	Within 6 months extendable up to
	for making application in order			nine months from the date of filing.
	for acceptance			
05	Application for extension of	Section	Form 3	Before the expiry of initial period of
	period of copyright for 5 years	11(2)		10 years from the date of
				registration.
06	Application for restoration of	Section	Form 4	Within one year from date of
	lapsed design due to non	12(2)		cessation
	payment of fee for extension of	Rule 24		
	copyright			
07	Petition for cancellation of the	Rule 29	Form 8	Any time during existence of
	registration			copyright
08	Appeal to High Court against	Section		Within three months the date of
	the order of the Controller	19(2), 36		order of Controller.
09	Application for registration of	Section		Within 6 months from the date of
	design after first exhibiting the	21(b)		exhibition, provided that prior
	design			intimation was given to the
				Controller in Form 9.
10	Substitution of applicants before	Section	Form 2	Any time before the registration
	Registration	8(1)		
11	Filling of original or paper copy	Rule 3(1)	-	Within 15 days from the date of
	where the corresponding			Telefax / e-mail
	document already sent by e-mail			
	/ Tele Fax			

12	Request for alteration of name,	Rule 31	Form 2	At any time after registration
	address and address for service			
	in the Register of Designs			
13	Extension of time as prescribed	Rule 47		Up to three months and upon such
	under the Rules			terms as decided by the Controller.

ANNEXURE I IMPORTANT CASE LAWS

Topic	Provision	Case Law
Article	Sec 2 (a)	In Hindustan Lever Limited Vs Nirma Pvt. Ltd.
		Ahmedabad AIR 1992 Bom 195, it was held that label
		put on a carton to be used as container for the goods
		can never amount to design within the meaning of
		section 2 (3) of the Designs Act, 1911.
		In Re Littlewoods Pools Ltd's Application (1949) 66
		RPC 309 application was made to register a form of
		football coupon consisting of a rectangular sheet of
		paper on which a pattern was formed by straight lines,
		the spaces between were filled with literary matter.
		Wynn-Parry J in holding that the paper was not an
		article stated that as the function of the paper was
		"solely to carry the imprint of the design" and did not
		have any other function it was not an "article", within
		the meaning of the act.
		Explanation: It would appear to follow that paper or
		canvass could be considered an article. However, to be
		registrable an article must have some function other
		than that of merely carrying the design. A drawing or
		painting on ordinary paper or canvas are not the same
		as wallpaper or wrapping paper or textiles.
		The paper used for printing or canvas used for
		painting have no function other than to carry the
		printing or painting. Therefore, they are
		unregistrable.
		The wallpaper, wrapping paper or textiles all
		perform a function other than just being a vehicle for

	I	
		the design. For example the wallpaper is used for
		sticking on the wall to either enhance the décor of a
		room or for the more practical purpose of covering
		cracks or faults in the wall surface. Textile material is
		used for making up a variety of articles e.g. curtains,
		clothing, bed linen etc. This factor makes these
		registrable articles.
		In King Features Syndicate Inc. & Betts V O & M
		Kleeman Ltd. (1941) 48 RPC 207 at 222, where comic
		strip drawings of "Popeye the Sailor" were held not to
		be themselves design capable of registration under the
		act although when the features of shape and
		configuration depicted in the drawings were applied by
		industrial process to dolls and brooches a registrable
		design came into existence.
		A design which can not be repeated is not registrable.
		Thus an ornament made on an article of natural rock
		and when it would be difficult to get a multiple same
		varieties of natural rocks is not a proper subject for
		design registration.
Part of Article	Sec 2 (a)	In The Sifam Electrical Instrument Co Ltd V Sangamo
		Weston Ltd. [1973 RPC 899 at 909] it was submitted
		in this case that the proper interpretation was '
		susceptible of being sold separately', but the court held
		that it was the actual intention at the time of
		registration that was important. The article in question,
		a face for an electric meter, was found not to be
		registrable, and Graham J observed " I find the
		matter difficult to decide, but on the whole I think the
		intention must be to grant registration only for such
		articles as are intended by the proprietor of the design
		to be put on the market and sold separately, such as for
		example a hammer handle"
		1

Explanation: The inclusion in the definition of article "any part of an article capable of being made under sold separately" is to prevent the registration of a part of an article which has no separate identity and which is really an integral part of an article, for example the shape of a portion of a chair leg which is really an integral portion of the chair. The design of a part of an article is not registrable as a design unless the part is made and sold separately as an article.

The primary object the Act is to protect shape and not the function or functional shape. The expression design doesn't include a method or principle of construction or features of shape or configuration which is dictated solely by the function which the article to be made in that shape or configuration has to perform. . In addition to the industrial drawing of the entire crane, the plaintiff also claimed copyright in the following key components (i) the boom and slider assembly, (ii) the lower structure assembly, (iii) the main frame – axle assembly, (iv) the differential housing etc. The Delhi High Court observed that the aforesaid parts of the crane are made in a particular shape so as to interrelate with others mechanically. These parts of the crane are not made to appeal to the eye but solely to make the crane work or function. Most of the key components or parts, unseen in the crane for which are they are required, had only to pass the test of being able to perform their function. They would be judged by performance and not by appearance. Consequently the aforesaid key components or parts are incapable of being registered as designs. [Escorts construction Equipment Ltd. Vs Action construction Equipment Pvt. Ltd 1999

		PTC 36(Del) at pp 39,40,48].		
		AIR2008Bom11 Held, phrase, "capable of being made		
		and sold separately" used in Section 2(a) covers cases		
		not only of an article upon which a design article can		
		be made but also can be sold separately - Therefore,		
		such article which is separate from other parts of		
		goods and registered separately as a design, if intended		
		and or desired by the proprietor of the registered		
		article, as capable of being made and sold, is saleable		
		in the market separately - Only because such article in		
		present circumstances may not be put up in the market		
		for sale separately, it is not correct to say that the cap		
		involved in the present case, cannot be said to have an		
		independent life as an article of commerce - Therefore,		
		cap is an article within the ambit of definition of "an		
		article" under Section 2(1) of the Designs Act.		
Set of article	Rule 2 (e)	A set of articles can be: -		
		(i) a set of articles;		
		(ii) each article in the set; or		
		(iii) both a set of articles and each article in that		
		set, as the case requires		
		If a group of articles meets the requirements of a		
		"set", they can be registered under one application.		
		For a group of articles to be a set all three of the		
		criteria in the definition of "set" must be met.		
		These are:-		
		(i) ordinarily on sale or intended to be used together		
		(ii) commonality of design (bearing the same design)		
		(iii)same general character		

Section 2 (d)	High Court of Delhi in the case of Microfibers Inc.
[The Designs	vs. Girdhar and Co. and Anr. Had observed on the
Act 2000]	following guidelines:
Act 2000] Section 15 [The copyright Act 1957]	a. The definition of artistic work has a very wide connotation as it is not circumscribed by any limitation of the work possessing any artistic quality. Even an abstract work, such as a few lines or curves arbitrarily drawn would qualify as an artistic work. It may be two dimensional or three dimensional. The artistic work may or may not have visual appeal. b. The rights to which a holder of an original artistic work is entitled are enumerated in Section 14(c) of the Copyright act. c. It is the exclusive right of the holder of a Copyright in an original artistic work to reproduce the work in any material form. For example, a drawing of an imaginary futuristic automobile, which is an original artistic work, may be reproduced in the three-dimensional material form using an element, such as a metal sheet. d. The design protection in case of registered works under the Designs Act cannot be extended to include the copyright protection to the works which were industrially produced. e. A perusal of the Copyright Act and the Designs Act and indeed the Preamble and the Statement of Objects and Reasons of the Designs Act makes it clear that the legislative intent was to grant a higher protection to pure original artistic works such as paintings, sculptures etc and lesser protection to design activity which is commercial
	[The Designs Act 2000] Section 15 [The copyright Act

- in nature. The legislative intent is, thus, clear that the protection accorded to a work which is commercial in nature is lesser than and not to be equated with the protection granted to a work of pure Article
- f. The original paintings/artistic works which may be used to industrially produce the designed article would continue to fall within the meaning of the artistic work defined under Section 2(c) of the Copyright Act, 1957 and would be entitled to the full period of copyright protection as evident from the definition of the design under Section 2(d) of the Designs Act. However, the intention of producing the artistic work is not relevant.
- g. This is precisely why the legislature not only limited the protection by mandating that the copyright shall cease under the Copyright Act in a registered design but in addition, also deprived copyright protection to designs capable of being registered under the Designs Act, but not so registered, as soon as the concerned design had been applied more than 50 times by industrial process by the owner of the copyright or his licensee.
- h. In the original work of art, copyright would exist and the author/holder would continue enjoying the longer protection granted under the Copyright Act in respect of the original artistic work per se.
- If the design is registered under the Designs Act, the design would lose its copyright protection under the Copyright Act. If it is a design registrable under the Designs Act but has not so been registered, the design would continue to

enjoy copyright protection under the Act so long as the threshold limit of its application on an article by an industrial process for more than 50 times is reached. But once that limit is crossed, it would lose its copyright protection under the This Copyright Act. interpretation would harmonize the Copyright and the Designs Act in accordance with the legislative intent. Mere Section 2 (d) Mere Mechanical contrivance does not qualify for mechanical design registration. device In the matter of Glaxo Smithkline CH GmbH & Co. v. Anchor Health & Beautycare P. ltd. 2004 (29) PTC 72 (Del) the subject design pertained to design of tooth brush. The purported novelty resided in the zig zag S bend of the tooth brush. The court was of the view that zig zag S bend was a utilitarian and functional part, regarding which the plain tips had even obtained a patent on the ground that the zig zag S bend introduces mere flexibility in the tooth brush. By merely putting rubber cushion in the gaps these part does not become a design so as to preclude others from using it. This rubber cushions may enhance the flexibility and as such as utilitarian components. The handle also is a utilitarian part and introduction of certain patches thereon does not introduce any design therein. "A mere mechanical device is a shape in which all the features are dictated solely by the function or functions which the article has to be performed.....".Kestos Ltd. v. Kempat Ltd. & Kemp. (1936) 53 RPC 139.

	1	(cr1 41 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		"In cases where the designer has no option but to
		design an article in a particular shape because of the
		function it has to perform. If the function required the
		article to be made in one shape only, then and only
		then where its features dictated by solely by function"
		RPC 103, 1972.
		However if the design is not an essentially a
		mechanical contrivance but also meets the criterion
		for registration apart from its functionality, it may
		qualify for registration. In Cow & Co. Ltd. v. Cannon
		Rubber Manufacturers, Ltd. (1959) RPC 240,347.
		The design at issue was diagonal arrangement of ribs
		on a hot water bottle. The defendant pleaded that the
		simplest and most economical way to form the rib
		was to form them diagonally and hence it should not
		be registrable. The court observed "I think that in fact
		that it is functionally useful but I am not satisfied for
		my self that the defendants proved that it was that
		object and that object alone which dictated the
		adoption of this type ribbing".
'judged solely	Section 2 (d)	Explanation: Section 2(d) contains a positive
by eye;	,	requirement for registrability: there must be features
		which 'in the finished article appeal to and are judged
		solely by the eye'.
		Designs apply to nothing but that which the eye can
		tell entirely. Nothing in my opinion is a good design
		but that of which full knowledge is given when you
		once shown to the eye what your design is. It may be
		shape or configuration, that is given by a specimen or
		paper drawing, and the eye can see it and the eye can
		recognize whether it has been imitated". 24 RPC 65
		Pages 74,77 and 80

In the first place then, the eye to which the appeal must be made and the eye which is to judge is not the eye of the court but the eye of the customer. The purpose of the Act is to preserve the owner of the design the commercial value resulting from the customers preferring the appearance of the article, which have the design to those, which do not have it. The design will therefore be calculated to attract the attention of the beholder regardless of whether or not it makes a favourable appeal to him. (Amp. V. Utilux (1972) RPC 103 pp107).

"the requirement of appealing to the eye is therefore really a preliminary test of novelty, as compared to the fundamental form of the article".(Amp. V. Utilux (1972) RPC 103, pp 107).

Test of "influencing customer preference"

In considering eye appeal, Amp [note : see (Amp. V. Utilux (1972) RPC 103 pp107)] devised the important test of 'influencing customer preference'. In other words, whatever 'appeal' might mean, it is the eye of the customer not the court which is the judge. The real importance of this is not so much the reference to the customer but rather the fact that the court itself can not properly assess the matter without assistance. Thus, faced with evidence that a design does not have eye appeal, eg because it was devised with functional intent, evidence confirming that the design is attractive to the appropriate person will be needed to support registrability. The appropriate person would normally be the customer (whether a purchasing member of the general public or a manufacturer), although it could also be a consumer." [Benchairs Ltd. v Chair Centre Ltd. (1974) RPC 429 and Kevi A/S v Suspa-Verein

		UK Ltd. (1982) RPC 173].
		Test of Noticability: Eye appeal essentially consist
		notice ability.
		In Ferrero and CspA's application (1978 RPC 473,
		HL) the design at issue was an inner layer of a
		chocolate, which formed an essential part of the
		design. The design was upheld and the court observed
		"I think it is fairly plain that the underlying reason was
		that the design feature was present all the time, even
		though it only becomes apparent when the article, that
		is to say the egg, was being used for its purpose, when
		it had been broken so that it could be eaten".
		Explanation: Design features which are internal but
		visible only during use, may be subject matter of
		registration. For example, features of an open
		able/sliding cell phone and internal arrangement of
		chambers /trays in a refrigerator may be given along
		with the closed views of the article.
Exclusion of	Section 2 (d)	Under Section 2 (5) of the 1911 Act, it was held "A
trade mark		design or pattern covers the whole body or the goods
from		and forms part and parcel or the goods but a trade
registrability		mark is apart from and different from the goods for
		denoting the goods to be the manufacture or
		merchandise of a particular person. The copying of a
		design cannot, therefore, be treated as counterfeiting a
		trade mark". Narumal Khemchand v. The Bombay
		Co., Ltd., (1914), 25 Ind. Cas. 998.
		In another case it was held "The shape of an article
		con not be regarded as its trade mark. The shape
		comes within the definition of a "design" as given in
		section 2(5) of the Indian Patents and Designs Act.
		Any infringement of copyright in the shape should,
		therefore, be dealt with under the Indian Patents and

		Designs Act and not under the Criminal Procedure Code". Bhagirathi Marwari v. Bukshi Ram Sharma and Ors.Court of the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Jamshedpur (1932). Re. Design NO.14103. In Ampro Food Products Vs Ashok Biscuit works and Others AIR 1973 AP 71, It was held that 'a design is different from a trade mark. A design is necessarily part and parcel of the article manufactured while a trade mark is not necessarily so. Design does not include trade mark.
Property Mark	Section 2 (d)	Explanation: Property marks as defined in Section 479 of the Indian Penal Code which reads as follows: - Section 479: A mark used for denoting that moveable property belongs to a particular person is called a property mark. For example, the mark used by the Indian Railways on their goods may be termed as Property Mark for the purpose of easy identification of the owner.
Representation	Rule 14	Pugh v. Riley 1912 RPC 196 which emphasizes the fact that a design necessarily requires something in the nature of a drawing or tracing: A design to be registrable under the Act must be some conception or suggestion as to shape, configuration, pattern, or ornament. It must be capable of being applied to an article in such a way that the article, to which it has been applied, will show to the eye the particular shape, configuration, pattern or ornament, the conception or suggestion of which constitutes the design. In general, any application for registration must be accompanied by a representation of the

		design; that is, something in the nature of a drawing or
		tracing, by means of which the conception or
		suggestion constituting the design may be imparted to
		others. In fact, persons looking at the drawing ought to
		be able to form a mental picture of the shape,
		configuration, pattern, or ornament of the article to
		which the design has been applied.
High Court	Section 2 (e)	High Court shall have the same meaning as assigned to
		it in clause (i) of section 2 of the Patents Act, 1970.
		In the Civil Appeal No. 996-997/2010, M/s Godrej
		Sara Lee Limited Vs Reckitt Benckiser Australia,
		Supreme Court Of India held that High Court for
		appeal is High Court of Kolkata.
Proprietor of a	Section 2 (j)	It was also held that to "acquire" a design within the
design		meaning of section 2(14) (b) of the Designs Act, 1911
		means to obtain a transfer of the proprietary rights in
		the design and not merely to learn the design. [Mohd.
		Abdul Karim v. Mohd. Yasin and Anr. Allahabad High
		Court (1934) Re. Design No.43516].
		A person who made a sketch of a design for woven
		goods which he wised to have worked out and passed
		it on to a weaver without any particular instruction as
		to the working out of it, was held not to be the author
		of the design. [(1933) 50 RPC 240]
		If there are two persons each of whom has produced a
		similar design and each has communicated the fact of
		such authorship to the other, neither of them alone is
		the proprietor of a new or original design and neither
		of them can validly register it. [(1935) 52 RPC 7]
		In Pressler V Gartside (1933) 50 RPC 240 the
		managing director of the plaintiff made an ink sketch
		of a design which he wished to have worked out and
		applied to woven goods and gave to a weaver to

produce the cloth in accordance with the design. With no further instruction the weaver produced some cloth which was satisfactory to the plaintiff. The weaver was not given any particular instructions with regard to any particular way in which the sketch was to be worked out. It was found on evidence that on the basis of the sketch and instructions given, the weaver might have produced a number of different designs. It was held that the managing director was not the author of the design in question entitled to be registered as proprietor of the design. New or Section 4 (a) In the matter of M/s Brighto AutoIndustries Vs Shri original Raj Chawla (ILR 1978 (I) Delhi) it was held by the Honorable Court "new' is taken generally to mean as different to what has gone before and original as something originating from the author. In the matter of novelty the eye has to be the ultimate arbiter and the determination has to rest on the general ocular impression. To secure recognition for its newness or originality it is imperative that a design identical with or even materially similar to the relevant design should not have been published or registered previously. A slight trivial or infinitesimal variation from a preexisting design will not qualify it for registration taking into account the nature involved the change introduced should be substantial. It is no t necessary to justify registration that the whole of the design should be new, the newness may be confined to only a part of it but that part must be a significant one and it should be potent enough to impart to the whole design a distinct identity, unless the registration sought for the said part alone".

Further it was held that it is the duty of the court to take special care that no design shall be counted new or original, unless it is distinct from what previously existed by something essentially new or original which is different from ordinary trade variants, which may have lost, been common matters of test or choice in that trade.

In the matter of Gammeter Vs Controller of Patents and Others (AIR 1919 Calcutta 887) it was held "the design should be protected provided it was not merely an analogues and the test of novelty is the eye of the judge who must place the two designs side by side whether the one for which novelty is claimed is new"

In the matter of Glaxo Smithkline Consumer Healthcare Gmbh & Co. KG Vs Amigo Brushes (2004 (28) PTC I Del); the court has quoted M/s B Chawla & Sons Vs M/s Bright Auto Industries ((supra) wherein it was held that in respect of a mirror claimed to be a new and or original design that addition in the shape of a mirror which were available in the market did not constitute a mirror new or original in design. It was observed that addition of a curve here or there in a shape which is well-recognized shape of an article of common use in the market cannot make it an article new or original in design. It was further observed that where the rear view mirrors available in market were rectangular in shape with rounded edges; width sides curved or sloping and the appellant who had registered his design of mirror under the Act by adding a further curve in such shape claimed his design as new and original; without endorsing the extent and nature of novelty in design;

on application for cancellation of registration by respondent it was held that design made by the appellant was devoid of newness and equally devoid of originality.

In the matter of Glaxo Smithkline Consumer Healthcare Gmbh & Co. KG Vs Amigo Brushes (2004 (28) PTC I Del); the court has quoted Hello Mineral Water Pvt Ltd Vs Thermoking California Pure, 2000 PTC 177, it was held that mere novelty of form or shape is insufficient. Novelty involves the presence of some element or new position of an old element in combination, different from anything found in any prior structure.

Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Supreme Court **Of India in** Civil Appeal No. 3185 of 2008 (Arising out of S.L.P. (C) No. 16321 of 2006) has held that expression, "new or original" appearing in Section 4 means that the design which has been registered has not been published anywhere or it has been made known to the public and that it had been invented for the first time or it has not been reproduced by anyone — Burden was on the Complainant to show that the design was not original or new —Said burden not discharged by the Complainant as it only tried to prove on the basis of the letter of the German company that they produced the rollers and sold in market but it was nowhere mentioned that these rollers have been reproduced on the glass sheets by the German company or by any other company — Even though the proprietary right was acquired from the German company, design which is to be reproduced on glass has been registered for the first time in India and therefore is a new and original design.

Publication	Section 4 (b)	In the matter of Rotela Auto Components (P) Ltd Vs
		Jaspal Singh reported in 2002(24)PTC449(Del), i
		was held by the court in Para 21 "word
		'published' used in section 19 or under section 4 of the
		Designs Act has not been defined under the Designs
		Act'2000. It is published if a design is no longer a
		secret. There is publication if the design has been
		disclosed to the public or public has been put in
		possession of the design. Russell Clarke in Copyrigh
		in Industrial Design, 4 th edition, pages 41-42 says that
		it is sufficient, and there will be publication i
		the knowledge was either
		1. Available to the member of the public; or
		2. Actually in fact shown and disclosed to some
		individual member of the public who wa
		under no obligation to keep it secret.
		It is not necessary design should have been
		actually used. There will just as much be
		publication if it is shown that it was known to th
		public without ever having been actually put into
		use. Thus, publication may be of two types:
		a) Publication in prior documents,
		b) Publication by prior user."
		In the matter of Gopal Glass Works Ltd Vs Asstr
		Controller of Patents and Designs [2006(33) PTC43-
		(Cal)], it was held by the court that to constitute prior
		disclosure by publication to destroy the novelty of the
		registered design, the publication would have to be
		intangible form, of the design applied to the same
		article. Prior publication of a trade catalogue
		brochure, book, journal, magazine, or news pape
		containing photographs or explicit picture illustration
	I	

that clearly depict the application of the design on the

same article with the same visual effect would be sufficient.

In the matter of Venus Industries Vs Magppie Expots reported in 2003(26) PTC312 (PO) the Controller held that While there is not given any specific definition of publication in the Designs Act'2000 as well as in the old Designs Act'1911, any design, which has been made available to any person in India who is free to use or disclose it, is treated as published.

Publication and effect of disclosure

Section 4 & 16

2000(20) PTC 96 held under the Designs Act 1911, that a disclosure of a design by the proprietor to any other person in good faith is not deemed to be a publication of design sufficient to invalidate the copyrights thereof if the registration is obtained subsequently to the disclosure. The other person to whom the design has been disclosed if acts in contrary to good faith in which the design was disclosed to him and uses such design or publishes such design, such user and publication of design by the other person other than the proprietor of the design by legal fiction under Section 49 is not deemed to be a publication of the design sufficient to invalidate copyrights. Section

thus preserves and protects the proprietor's registration in the circumstances, when the disclosure of design is made by the proprietor to any other person in good faith. The expression "disclosure" used in section 49 when seen in the light of the facts of the present case, it would be noticed that the 1st Plaintiff has sold the chairs of design in question prior to its registration as a commercial proposition. Such sale by the 1st Plaintiff to the 2nd Plaintiff of the chairs having the design in question prior to its registration or for that matter prior to the application for registration, in the facts and circumstances of the case and material placed on record, obviously would not be covered under Section 49 of the Act. Section 4(c) In the matter of Phillips V Harbro Rubber Co. Significantly Distinguishable (1919)36RPC 79 at P 85(CA) clearly indicates that it is not open to a manufacturer to bring one or more from Known important leading features of different articles already **Designs** present in a market and by registering a design incorporating merely a combination without any mental activity by application of mind for its production and acquire registration of design. The manufacturers who are already manufacturing those products shall be under pressure of carrying out the business for unduly registration made and be a subject of monopoly. It would be an oppressive if any mere change in the outline or pattern of such an article would justify the registration of design. It will not only hamper the business activity at large but also preclude others for making such article.

		In the matter of Clark's Registered Design (1896) 13
		RPC 351 at page 362, (Lopes LJ), it is however
		established law that a new combination of two or more
		old features may constitute a good subject matter of
		valid registration; but it is to be seen that the
		combination must not be obvious and must result in
		something creative that is new or original as a whole
		in respect of ornament, pattern shape or configuration
Repeal &	Section 48	In the matter of Faber Castell Aktiengesellschaft Vs
saving		Pikpen(P) Ltd reported in 2003 (27) PTC 538(Bom),
provision		it was held that as regards registration,etc done under
		the old Act, subsection (2) of section 48 of the 2000
		Act clearly lays down that it shall continue to be in
		force and have effect as if done under the
		corresponding provisions of the new Act. This means
		that registration of the design must continue in force
		and have effect as things done under section 4 of the
		Act. It is, therefore, clear that the intention of the
		parliament is that all things done under the old act can
		only be continued to be in force and have effect as if
		made under the corresponding provision of the new
		Act. The only exception made in section 48 is
		provided in subsection (4) which provides that any
		proceedings pending in any court at the
		commencement of the new Act may be continued in
		that court as if the new Act has not been passed. It is
		therefore clear that parliament intended that all things
		done under the old act, after the enactment of the new
		Act be governed only by the new Act, he old Act
		being completely repealed.
		The question of registration and cancellation of
		registration must be decided under the new Act.
		Therefore, also the question whether and to the extent

to which it can be used as a ground of defence in a suit for injunction will also have to be decided with reference to the new Act.

In the matter of IAG Company Ltd Vs Triveni Glass Ltd reported in 2005(30)PTC (Cal) (DB) wherein it is held that it is no doubt true that under subsection (2) of section 48 of the new Act, the registration of the Appellant is saved. But the said registration shall continue in force and have effect as if it has been issued under the corresponding provisions of the new Act.

Once the new Act has come into force, the registration given under the old Act will continue in force as if given under the new Act. The obvious consequence is that such registration is subject to same grounds of cancellation, which are provided under section 19 (1) of the new Act. This is the obvious interpretation of section 48 (2). So, even though the registration certificate is saved, but, its continuance is subject to the grounds of cancellation provided under the new Act. The grounds of cancellation under the new Act are substantially wider and more comprehensive than those provided under the old Act.

Subsection (4) of section 48 of the new Act says that if any proceeding is pending in any court at the commencement of the new Act, the same may continue in that court As if the new Act has not been passed. In the instant case, the suit was filed before the Calcutta High Court in the month of July'2001and the new Act came into force in the month of May'2000. As such, the said suit was filed about one year three month after the new Act has come into force and cannot be considered a pending proceeding under

Act. This is
n reading of
nuch as the
nd admits of

ANNEXURE-II SPECIMEN NOVELTY STATEMENTS & DISCLAIMERS IN REPRESENTATION SHEET

a. The novelty resides in the shape of the ash-tray as illustrated.			
b. The novelty resides in the shape or configuration in the bookshelf as			
illustrated.			
c. The novelty resides in the groove (A) and projection (B) as			
illustrated.			
d. The novelty resides in the ornamental surface pattern of the football			
as illustrated.			
e. Novelty is claimed for the floral ornamentation of tea-pot as			
illustrated.			
Note: There is no need to highlight or pin-point any portion of the			
representation as novel, as novelty of the design resides in the article			
taken as a whole.			
a. No claim is made by virtue of this registration of respect of any			
mechanical or other action of any mechanism whether or in respect			
of any mode or principle of construction of the article.			
b. No claim is made by virtue of this registration to any right to the			
exclusive use of trade marks, words or letters and numerals			
appearing in the design of the article.			
c. No claim is made by virtue of this registration to any right to the			
exclusive use of colour or colour combination appearing in the			
design of the article.			
d. No claim is made by virtue of this registration to any right to the			
exclusive use of any extraneous matter like xxxxxxxxx etc.			
appearing in the representation sheets.			
1 1			

Specimen of Representation Sheet

Name.....



Total sheet....
Sheet No....

Perspective View

The novelty resides in the shape & configuration of the 'TEA POT' as illustrated.

No claim is made by virtue of this registration in respect of any mechanical or other action of any mechanism whatever or in respect of any mode or principle of construction of the Article.

No claim is made by virtue of this registration to any right to the exclusive use of the words, letters, numbers, or trade marks appearing in the representation.

Dated:

Signature of the applicant/agent (Name of the Signatory)